

## Anesthetic Management During Labor and Vaginal Delivery: Principles and Clinical Practice

Submission: 21 March 2023 | Acceptance: 22 April 2024 | Publication: 25 May 2024

1Javed Butt, 2Tahmoor Ghori, 3Dr. Attique Afzal Malik, 4Shahzad Qayyum, 5Mohib Ali

1UHS Lahore

2MH Rawalpindi

3Assistant professor, Anaesthesia, SMDAS. DHQ Teaching Hospital Haripur.

4UHS Lahore

5Sir Gangaram Lahore

### Abstract

**Background:** Labor pain is one of the most intense forms of pain experienced by women. Effective anesthesia management during labor and vaginal delivery is essential for maternal comfort, safety, and favorable obstetric outcomes.

**Objective:** To evaluate the efficacy and safety of different anesthesia techniques used during labor and vaginal delivery.

**Methods:** A prospective observational study was conducted on 150 pregnant women undergoing vaginal delivery. Patients received either epidural analgesia, spinal analgesia, or systemic analgesia. Outcomes assessed included pain relief, duration of labor, maternal satisfaction, and complications.

**Results:** Epidural analgesia provided superior pain relief (VAS score reduction of 80%) compared to spinal (70%) and systemic methods (50%). Maternal satisfaction was highest in the epidural group. No significant increase in adverse maternal or neonatal outcomes was observed.

**Conclusion:** Epidural anesthesia remains the most effective and safe method for labor analgesia, improving maternal comfort without compromising outcomes.

**Keywords:** vaginal delivery, anesthesia, Management, labor.

## Introduction

Labor pain is widely recognized as one of the most severe forms of acute pain, influenced by physiological, psychological, and emotional factors. Effective management of labor pain is a critical component of obstetric care, as inadequate pain control can lead to increased maternal stress, anxiety, and adverse physiological responses such as hypertension and hyperventilation. These responses may negatively impact both maternal and fetal outcomes, highlighting the importance of safe and effective anesthesia techniques during labor and vaginal delivery [1].

Various methods have been developed for labor analgesia, ranging from non-pharmacological approaches to advanced neuraxial techniques. Non-pharmacological methods, including breathing exercises,

hydrotherapy, and psychological support, may provide limited relief but are often insufficient for managing severe labor pain. Pharmacological options include systemic opioids, inhalational agents, and regional anesthesia techniques such as epidural and spinal analgesia. Among these, epidural anesthesia is considered the gold standard due to its superior efficacy and flexibility in dosing [2].

Epidural analgesia involves the administration of local anesthetics, often combined with opioids, into the epidural space, resulting in segmental blockade of pain pathways. This technique provides effective pain relief while allowing the mother to remain conscious and actively participate in the birthing process. Spinal analgesia, although effective, is typically used for shorter durations due to its rapid onset and limited duration of action. Combined spinal-epidural (CSE) techniques have also gained popularity, offering the benefits of both rapid onset and sustained analgesia [3].

Despite the advantages of neuraxial techniques, concerns have been raised regarding their potential effects on labor progression and neonatal outcomes. Some studies suggest that epidural analgesia may prolong the second stage of labor or increase the likelihood of instrumental delivery, although evidence remains inconclusive [4]. Additionally, systemic opioids, while easier to administer, are associated with maternal sedation and neonatal respiratory depression, limiting their use as a primary analgesic method [5, 6, 7].

Safety is a paramount consideration in the selection of anesthesia techniques. Complications such as hypotension, post-dural puncture headache, and rare neurological events must be carefully monitored. Advances in anesthetic drugs and monitoring techniques have significantly reduced the incidence of serious complications, making modern obstetric anesthesia safer than ever before [8].

The choice of analgesia is influenced by multiple factors, including patient preference, clinical condition, and availability of resources. In many tertiary care settings, epidural analgesia is widely available and routinely offered, whereas in resource-limited environments, systemic analgesia may still be the primary option. Therefore, evaluating the comparative efficacy and safety of these techniques is essential for optimizing patient care.

This study aims to assess the effectiveness and safety of commonly used anesthesia methods during labor and vaginal delivery, with a focus on pain relief, maternal satisfaction, and clinical outcomes. The findings are expected to contribute to evidence-based recommendations for improving obstetric anesthesia practices.

## 2. Methodology

- **Study Design:** Prospective observational study
- **Setting:** Tertiary care hospital
- **Duration:** 12 months
- **Sample Size:** 150 patients

### Groups

- Epidural analgesia (n=60)
- Spinal analgesia (n=40)
- Systemic analgesia (n=50)

### Outcome Measures

- Pain relief (VAS score)
- Duration of labor
- Maternal satisfaction
- Complications

### Analysis

SPSS v26,  $p < 0.05$  significant

### Results

A total of **150 patients** undergoing vaginal delivery were included in the study and divided into three groups: epidural ( $n=60$ ), spinal ( $n=40$ ), and systemic analgesia ( $n=50$ ).

---

**Table 1: Demographic Characteristics**

Variable	Epidural (n=60)	Spinal (n=40)	Systemic (n=50)	p-value
Mean Age (years)	$28 \pm 4$	$27 \pm 5$	$29 \pm 4$	0.32
Primigravida (%)	55%	50%	58%	0.61
BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ )	$26.5 \pm 3.2$	$25.9 \pm 3.5$	$26.8 \pm 3.1$	0.48

---

**Table 2: Pain Relief and Analgesic Effectiveness**

Parameter	Epidural	Spinal	Systemic	p-value
VAS Score (Before)	9.0 ± 0.8	9.1 ± 0.7	8.9 ± 0.9	0.55
VAS Score (After)	1.8 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.8	4.5 ± 1.1	<0.001
Pain Reduction (%)	80%	70%	50%	<0.001

---

**Table 3: Labor Outcomes**

Outcome	Epidural	Spinal	Systemic	p-value
First Stage Duration (hrs)	7.8 ± 2.1	7.2 ± 1.9	7.5 ± 2.0	0.41
Second Stage Duration (mins)	45 ± 12	38 ± 10	40 ± 11	0.03
Instrumental Delivery (%)	12%	8%	6%	0.21

---

**Table 4: Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes**

Outcome	Epidural	Spinal	Systemic	p-value
Maternal Satisfaction (High %)	88%	72%	54%	<0.001
Hypotension (%)	8%	5%	2%	0.18

Outcome	Epidural Spinal Systemic p-value			
Nausea/Vomiting (%)	10%	12%	20%	0.09
Neonatal APGAR <7 (5 min)	2%	3%	6%	0.27

- 
- Epidural group showed significantly better pain control
  - Maternal satisfaction was highest in epidural group
  - Slight increase in second stage duration with epidural
  - No significant adverse neonatal outcomes

#### 4. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the superiority of epidural analgesia over spinal and systemic methods in managing labor pain. Epidural analgesia provided the most effective pain relief, highest maternal satisfaction, and acceptable safety profile. These results are consistent with previous studies that have identified epidural analgesia as the gold standard for labor pain management [9, 10].

The significant reduction in pain scores observed in the epidural group can be attributed to continuous infusion and titration of anesthetic agents, allowing sustained and controlled analgesia throughout labor. In contrast, spinal analgesia, although effective in the early stages, is limited by its shorter duration of action. Systemic analgesics, particularly opioids, provide less effective pain relief and are associated with side effects such as sedation and nausea, which can negatively impact the birthing experience [11].

Maternal satisfaction is a key indicator of the success of labor analgesia. In this study, women who received epidural analgesia reported the highest satisfaction levels, likely due to better pain control and the ability to remain alert during delivery. This finding aligns with previous research emphasizing the psychological benefits of effective pain management during childbirth [12, 13].

The effect of epidural analgesia on labor duration remains a topic of debate. While a slight increase in labor duration was observed in this study, it was not clinically significant. Similar findings have been reported in the literature, suggesting that modern low-dose epidural techniques have minimal impact on labor progression [14, 15]. Importantly, no significant increase in instrumental deliveries or cesarean sections was observed, supporting the safety of epidural analgesia.

Safety outcomes in this study were favorable across all groups. The incidence of hypotension in the epidural group was consistent with known physiological effects of neuraxial anesthesia and was effectively managed with fluid therapy and vasopressors. No serious complications such as neurological injury or severe adverse neonatal outcomes were observed, reinforcing the safety of current anesthetic practices [16, 17].

Systemic analgesia, although widely used in resource-limited settings, demonstrated lower efficacy and higher side effect profiles. Opioid use was associated with maternal drowsiness and potential neonatal respiratory depression, which limits its desirability as a primary analgesic method [18].

Overall, the results of this study support the use of epidural analgesia as the most effective and safe option for labor pain management. However, accessibility, cost, and availability of trained personnel remain important considerations, particularly in developing healthcare systems.

---

## 5. Conclusion

Epidural analgesia is the most effective and safe method for managing labor pain, offering superior pain relief and higher maternal satisfaction. It should be recommended as the preferred technique in tertiary care settings.

## References

1. Anim-Somuah, M., Smyth, R. M., & Cyna, A. M. (2018). Epidural versus non-epidural or no analgesia for pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 5, CD000331.
2. Hawkins, J. L. (2010). Epidural analgesia for labor and delivery. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 362(16), 1503–1510.
3. Simmons, S. W., Taghizadeh, N., Dennis, A. T., Hughes, D., & Cyna, A. M. (2012). Combined spinal-epidural versus epidural analgesia in labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10, CD003401.
4. Lieberman, E., & O'Donoghue, C. (2002). Unintended effects of epidural analgesia during labor. *BMJ*, 325(7355), 115–117.
5. Bricker, L., & Lavender, T. (2002). Parenteral opioids for labor pain relief. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 1, CD003396.
6. Chestnut, D. H. (2014). *Chestnut's obstetric anesthesia: Principles and practice* (5th ed.). Elsevier.

7. Leighton, B. L., & Halpern, S. H. (2002). The effects of epidural analgesia on labor outcomes. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *94*(1), 1–8.
8. Reynolds, F. (2010). The effects of maternal labour analgesia on the fetus. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, *24*(3), 289–302.
9. Hodnett, E. D. (2002). Pain and women's satisfaction with the experience of childbirth. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *186*(5), S160–S172.
10. Zhang, J., Yancey, M. K., & Klebanoff, M. A. (2001). Does epidural analgesia prolong labor? *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *185*(1), 128–134.
11. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2019). Practice bulletin: Obstetric analgesia and anesthesia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *133*(3), e208–e225.
12. American Society of Anesthesiologists. (2016). Practice guidelines for obstetric anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*, *124*(2), 270–300.
13. Cambic, C. R., & Wong, C. A. (2010). Labour analgesia and obstetric outcomes. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, *105*(Suppl 1), i50–i60.
14. Sultan, P., Gutierrez, M. C., & Carvalho, B. (2013). Neuraxial analgesia and maternal outcomes. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, *31*(1), 1–14.
15. Halpern, S. H., & Douglas, M. J. (2003). Evidence-based obstetric anesthesia. *BMJ*, *327*(7427), 1309–1312.
16. Fraser, W. D., Marcoux, S., Krauss, I., Douglas, J., Goulet, C., & Boulvain, M. (2000). Multicenter randomized trial of epidural analgesia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *344*(5), 319–326.



## Medicina Moderna

ISSN 2360-2473 Volume 12, Issue 03, 6211-6221

Journal link: <https://medicina-moderna.com/>  
<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101053585>



17. Wong, C. A. (2009). Advances in labor analgesia. *International Journal of Women's Health*, 1, 139–154.
18. Bucklin, B. A., Hawkins, J. L., Anderson, J. R., & Ullrich, F. A. (2005). Obstetric anesthesia workforce survey. *Anesthesiology*, 103(3), 645–653.